

Redeveloping the Norwegian Household Budget Survey

Past | Present | Future

Session 1
1st June

Sverre Amdam, Statistics Norway
Kristin Egge-Hoveid, Statistics Norway





Past Traditional Household Budget Survey

- Paper diaries
- Personal interview
- Manual coding
 - High costs
 - Quality challenges










Present Digital data collection – mix mode

Paper diaries/interview replaced by:

1. Paper receipt delievery covering groceries


2. Web diary covering all other expences


3. Web questionaire






1. Paper receipt delievery covering groceries











2. Web diary covering all other expences





3. Web questionaire





Present

Digital data collection – mix mode


Implications

Cost

- ✓ Reduce interview costs
- √ From manual to automated coding

Quality

- ✓ More accurate coding
- ✓ User friendly instruments
- ✓ Response rate
- ✓ Item-Non response





Future Digital transaction data

Principal sources:

- Bank transaction data
 - Banks and/or payment processors
 - + Link to individual
 - Insufficient detail level

Utilizing the sources:

- Bank transaction data
 - Persons (respondents) detailing transactions in a survey
 - Private Financial management solutions (PFM)

- Bong data (receipt data)
 - Sales terminal systems
 - + Sufficient detail level
 - No link to individual (few exceptions)

- Bong data
 - Grocery Retail Chains (GRCs')
 - As auxiliary data; a mode to be mixed; replacement of survey data

